CHAPTER VII

LARGESSE IN SRAUTA TITULS

The close study of the srauta rituals shows that daksina, which is given to riviks by a yajamana as a fee for the services which the former render in the rituals, is one of the requisite segments of the Srauta-Sites.

Meaning of Daksinā: Generally, the word daksinā is taken to mean a gift or sacrificial fee, but the SBr. derives the word from $\sqrt{}$ daks, 'to make prosperous' or 'to accomplish'. It was believed that the rituals become successful only when a yajāmānā confers daksinā urch the rimiks. Yāska, following the SBr. derives the word daksinā from $\sqrt{}$ daks and adds that it accomplishes whatever is incomplete, which means that a sacrifice without daksinā was thought to be incomplete. Generally, the cows were given in daksinā, so in the Upanisadic literature it is found that cows have been identified with daksinā.

The word daksinā has variously been interpreted by the scholars. Keith translates it as fee, Monier-Milliams takem it as donation, gift, sacrificial fee, whereas Heesterman and Rampopal take daksinā as the remuneration, paid for the services rendered by the rtviks. Among the above stated various meanings the word 'donation' (dāna) can't be accepted as an appropriate term for daksinā, for, the former is an amount to be given as an aid to an institute or to a poor man whereas the latter is given to the rtviks for their services. Daksinā is the payment for the labour done by the rtviks in the rituals. It is the payment made by the yajamāna in lieu of the work he should himself have have done. This is why in the Sattras there is no daksinā because the yajamānas themselves perform the duties of rtviks? The fruit

of the yajña goes to a person who actually performs rituals and the riviks are the actual performer and thus actual recenient of the yajña-fruit. But, the yajamāna makes all the expenses of the yajña and by making dakṣiṇā to the riviks he buys the yajña-fruit for himself. It could also be mentioned that it is the dakṣiṇā that allures the riviks to accent the office of the rivik.

(ii) Nature (svarūpa) of Daksinā:— As a matter of fact daksinā in the Vedic ritual was the payment for the labour done by the rtviks, but at the same time it appears that it was given also to please the rtviks performing the rituals on behalf of a yajamāna. The Brāhmanic-society held two types of gods viz. the celestial gods and the human gods i.e. the rtviks. Offerings, consisting of ghrta, purodāsa, caru, milk etc. were offered to please the former whereas the daksinā was considered as an offering to please the latter. The nature of things given in daksinā to a rtvik reveals that sometimes the rtviks were given their desired things in the form of dakṣiṇā in order to make them pleased. For example, at the rituals of ādhāna, Agniṣtoma, Asvamedha and Sūlagava the yajamāna gave 'vara' to the rtviks. Naturally, they would like to have an auspicious and valuable thing.

Dakṣiṇā was given with a view to accomplishing what was incomplete in a yajña. SBr. states whatever fails in the sacrifice is invigorated by means of dakṣiṇā to the rtvik whereupon the sacrifice becomes successful. In the rituals the things, given in dakṣiṇā to the rtviks, are of the variant nature. In the Srauta rituals the quantity of dakṣiṇā is generally fixed for the separate rituals. For instance, dakṣiṇā sof Dasapeya, Abhiṣecanīya, Ahīnas Sunāsīra-rarva, Varuṇapraghāsa pārva have been prescribed as twelve

Pregnant heifers, one lakh cows, one thousand cows, a plough yoked with six oxen, and a pair (of bull and cow), respectively. However, the quantum of daksina appears to vary according to the economic condition of the yajamana. Altareya Brahmana states that in the yajña daksinā could be a very smaller one. SBr. also says that dak sina could be given according to the capacity of the vajamana. As already stated, a plough yoked with six oxen was the daksina of sunasīra parva, but a plough yoked with two oxen could also be given. The statements seem to have been made keeping the conomic condition of a poor yajamana in view. The quantity of daksina varied according to the nature of the rituals also. For smaller ritual daksina was a smaller one whereas for the bigger rituals it was a bigger one. For example, daksinā of Pitryajna was amemasculated ox, a new garment and dipping spoon; of Stapeya-ekaha was a soma-camasa, whereas daksina of only abhisecaniya rite of Rajasiya was one lakh cows. Normally, it was believed that greater daksina brought about the greater results. Sometimes, it used to be the same for various For instance, Asvamedha, Furusamedha and Sarvamedha rituals had the similar dak sina.

Daksinā was an imperative to the yajamāna. He could not refuse it. It was the payment for rtviks' services and the yajamāna had to pay, may it be in a very small quantity. There are references to the effect that rtviks too did not bargain or refuse to accept dakṣiṇā of a poor man because it was thought if they bargained they would be deprived of heaven. They could also logse their status in society by refusing the dakṣiṇā of a poor yajamāna.

Things to be given in dakṣiṇā were of the auspicious and inauspicious nature. Gold, clothes, animals, chariots etc. fall

under the former category. Even dasis were given in daksina. Food was also given in the form of daksina. Sometimes, rtviks are also found enquiring about the auspicious (Kalyani) daksinas. On the other hand, a black cloth; cloth used by the yajamana, barren cows; black, old and sick cow, black cow with defective horas, all these were regarded as inauspicious (a-kalyani) daksinas. Sometimes, daksina consisted of old and repaired things in rituals which themselves were re-performed. For example, Punaradrana itself was the re-kindling of fire at a time when the previous fire was extinct or it could not bring the desired fruit. Things to be given in daksina in this rite were also of its nature. The things included resewn garment, remained chariot and a released built.

(iii) Time for distributing the Daksina: There could be two times or giving away the daksina. It could be given either before or after the sacrifice. But, both these times carry some risk. In case it was given before the performance of a sacrifice then it could be harmful for the yajamāna if the rtvik did not come or perform the rituals in a right way. Whereas, on the other hand if daksinā was given at the end of the sacrifice the rtvik could be a loser, for, the yajamāna might not give daksinā after having used the services of the former. But, such practices were avoided in the Vedic Society.

The śrauta-texts prescribe certain occasions of giving away the dakṣiṇā. It could be given either before or after a particular ritual or after whole of the rite. The Agveda mentions of giving away the dakṣiṇā before the ritual of pressing the Soma. In the Śrauta-period, in some of the rituals such as the Vajapeya, the rtviks were given golden necklaces to wear which were given to

the respective riviks as daksina at the end of the sacrifice. Most probably this practice might have been started with a view to engaging the riviks in the performance of the rites more efficiently and cheerfully, for, they knew that the necklaces given to them would ultimately come to them in form of daksina.

Instances are found wherein it becomes conspicuous that dak sing was given even during the sacrifice. It was given after a particular ritual. At the Pasubangha sacrifice, after the vapa offering, an adorned daughter or a bullock or three heifers were given in daksina to Adhvaryu. The time of bringing the anvaharya was the time of giving away of daksina in all the istis. At the Asvamedha when 'brahmaudana' was given to the four riviks, daksina was also given away. 'Pavitra' yajna is a part of Rajasuya sacrifice but its daksina was also given at once after its performance. At the Rajasuya, Hota and Adhvaryu were given one hundred cows each in daksina at the end of the recitation of Sunahsepa-legend.

The motif of giving away dak sina before the sacrifice may possibly be to remove the forthcoming adversity which may be brought about by the rtviks if they become angry due to any reason. The reason for starting the ritual of distributing dak sina when the main sacrifice was going on might be this that perhaps the rtviks were afraid of the idea that the yajamana might not give it at the end of the rite. And to distribute dak sina after whole of the sacrifice was a general practice because it was believed to accomplish the sacrifice.

(iv) <u>Kinds (padarthas) of Daksinā:</u> Daksinā given in the Śrauta rituals consisted of different kinds. Tpastamba ŚŚ states that generally all the mt rtviks got clothes, one pair of bovine animals

- and a new chariot. But, SBr. categorically describes gold, cow, cloth and horse as the four chief kinds of daksina. The Srauta rituals show that besides above stated kinds various others were also given to the rtviks in the form of daksina. Following are the various kinds of daksina:
- (a) Metallic:- Gold has remained dear ever since the Vedic period. It was given and accepted in dakṣiṇā in its different forms. Gold-coins in Asvamedha, golden pots at Agnicayana and lotus flowers made of gold were given in dakṣiṇā of the saṃṣṇa oblations of the Rājaṣūya-sacrifice. Gold, without any particular form, was also given in the various śrauta rites viz. Punarādhāna, Rājaṣūya, Agniṣtut-ekāha etc. Golden necklaces were given in dakṣiṇa at the Vājapeya sacrifice. Silver satamānas were the dakṣiṇa of 'Savitṛ-iṣṭi' at the Asvamedha. Describing the ritual of iṣṭis, Mānava Sprescribes tin, lead, iron, and copper as the metallic dakṣiṇās. Various metals given in dakṣiṇā show opulence and richness of vedic society, for, these metals were used in a large quantity.
- (b) Implements and Weapons:- Some implements and weapons were also given in dakṣiṇā in śrauta rituals. A yoked plough was the dakṣiṇā of śūnāsīra parva⁵¹ At each of the Pakṣistoma and Jyotiḥ-stoma ekāhas four carts full of grains were given in dakṣiṇā⁵² Chariots were given in dakṣiṇā at the Vājapeya and Aśvamedha. At the Rtapeya-ekāha a soma-camasa, at the Rājasūya a dice-board tied with a rope of grass and at the Pitṛmedha an āsandī was given in dakṣiṇā. A bow and a quiver; three arrows and a bow; and a stick, were the dakṣiṇā of Rājasūya, Abhyuditeṣti and Abhyuddṛṣṭeṣti, respectively.
- (c) Property:- At the Asvamedha the yajamana used to give the property, except land and men, of non-brahmanas in the eastern

region to the Adhvaryu, that in the southern region to Brahma; that in the western region to Hota and that in the northern region to Udgata. A brahmana, performing Purusamedha, had to give away whole of his property in daksina.

- (d) Etibles:- Edibles were among the various kinds given in dakṣiṇā. Cooked food at the sarvasvāra ekāha; anvāhārya at the Darsapūrņa-māsa; dadhimantha (mixture of ghee, saktu and curd), madhumantha (mixture of ghee, saktu and honey), madhumartha (mixture of ghee, saktu and honey), madhumartha and bee-hive were given in dakṣiṇā at Āgrayaṇa. Mantha, odana, tila, māṣa were given in dakṣiṇā of Agnistoma sacrifice.
- (e) <u>Mnimals</u> Different animals were also given in daksinā of the Srauta mituals. The animals of one categor with various qualities were also among the daksinās of the Srauta mituals. A milch cow was given at anvārambhāņa-iṣṭī and a barren (vasā) cow at Rājasūyā.

 Rājasūyā. A black, old and sick cow was also given at Rājasūyā.

 The symbolic numpose of giving away the vasā cow was to beget Vasā (rower) for the yajamāna. The malmals, given in daksinā in Srauta mituals, included: heifer at Samsmpa iṣṭi, first born calf at Rāmayaṇa; white ox at the first sādyāskra-ekāhā; dwarfish ox at the Rājasūyā, goats at the Amyādheya and Vājapeyā; sheep at the Vējapeyā; ram at the Soma sacrifice; he-goats at the Ami-cayana; mare at the Sautrāmāṇi; horses, mules and elerhants at the fourth sādyāskra-ekāhā. Mānavā ŚS⁸² names camels and buffalows also as the animals to be given in daksiņā.
- (f) Others: A rair of upanaha was given at the Abhyuddrstesti.

 A red turben at the Rajasuya, cloth and adhivasa were the daksina of Vajapeya. Saudhayana 5586 speaks of giving away meney at the Agnya mana. Describing the ritual at the acceptance of daksina

Man ss also given in daksina.

Man was also given in daksina.

Various things given in the Srauta rituals in the form of daksina show the riches of the Vedic society, and the mention of weapons shows that Vedic people were good fighters and hunters as well.

(v) Debarred Daksinas: Besides prescribing daksina for various rites, the acarvas have described those things also which were debarred for giving or accepting in daksing. At the Agnistoma sacrifice silver (rajata) was not given and elephants were not accepted in daksina. Silver was not regarded a suitable daksina because it was taken as having born of the tears of agni. The reason for not accepting the elephants was perhaps this that it was difficult for rtviks to feed them properly and moreover it was a kingly sign and a requirement of kastriyas who went to the battle-field. Jaimini is of the view that the naimals with miens (akrti) should not be given and those with two rows of teeth should not be accepted as deksina and this was why the horse was not given at Visvajit-ekāha. Besides this reason, the horses were not given because the horse was also a kingly sign and a means of battle which was not the duty of braha-Taittiriya Samhita says that a person, who accepts borse in dak sinā, is seized by Varuna i.e. the recipient is overnowered by 'Jalodara'. At the Wisvajit-ekāha sūdra was also not given in daksina 93 because he was supposed to be present to be instructed in his duties.

Apastamba mentions the giving away of the four queens of the yajamana to the four chief-rtviks in daksina, at the Asvamedha. It shows that there might be a time when women were regarded as the

property of men and were given in daksina. But, Katyayana provides an option by laying down that only maid servants be given, The latter view is very right in giving the option as the wife of the yajamana was equally entitled to enjoy the fluit of the rituals because she was regarded as the half of his self.

After the study of a large number of kinds of daksina one may possibly assume that rtviks in Vedic society were greedy. But, the kinds of daksina themselves show that they were not always profitable. Heesterman also does not find any greed in rtviks. According to him, 'no priestly greed (priesteriche Habsucht) is found in case of daksinas given in srauta rituals. It could firmly be stated that the large number of kinds of daksina were not to fulfil the greed of a rtviks, but were given as payment for the various services rendered by them.

(vi) Furnose of Taksina: - Every stanta ritual was performed with a certain numpose. The ritual of daksina was also performed with certain purposes. The distributor of daksina was regarded as becoming a victor over his foes in battle. The ritual was performed without any bad sentiments. Taksina, given with noble mind and without hesitation, was believed to be bringing the fruit in a large quastity. In the absence of this ritual even an oblation was not regarded an oblation in real sense. An offering without daksina was compared to a cart without oxen. The ritual of distributing daksina was taken as the media in the fulfilment of certain desires. The yajemāna, who wanted to have the narticular animals, was supposed to give animals of that very type in daksina. The ritual was also regarded as helpful in attaining the heaven.

Modern scholars have also recognised the importance of daksina in the Srauta ritual. J. Gonda takes daksinā as to be placed on the credit side of the doner's account. In the light of this view it can be said that every yajamāna would like to give a larger daksinā to make his 'credit' a higher one. C. V. Vaidya observes that daksinā is the 'deserved fee for services rendered with efficiency and great toil'. The riviks were made pleased with it.

(vii) Result of Non-payment: - The absence of daksina was regarded harmful for a yajamana. The intyas thrust sin upon those who did not give dak sina. The sacrifice has been compared to a ship and the non-payment of daksing as a hole in it. Thus the sacrificial-ship is caused to drown which means that a yajamana would not be able to get the required fruit. All the means and money spent would go fruitless and the yajamana would have to face dire, especially economic, consequences. According to To.SS, if daksina is not given the yajamana becomes short lived. It appears that it had been tried to raise a fear in the heart of a yajamana for not giving daksina. Daksina was meant for pleasing the rtviks by paying for their services. But, once a yajamana cheats them by not giving away the daksing, no rtvik would be ready to officiate in his sacrifice in future and thus he could be downgraded in the eyes of the society.

(viii) Daksinā: As a Socio-Economic Arrangement of Vedic Society:

Dans and daksing with their various cognate words have frequently been referred to in the Agreda. For example, Agreda X.117 and X.107. have completely been attributed to dans and daksing, respectively. They had very important place in the Agredic society. It appears that raking of dans and daksing was the basis of socioeconomic arrangement of vedic society.

In the Vedic society it was thought that the riches of the liberal never goes waste. People used to help poor very happily, for, they knew that a rich person could also turn up a poor man because riches rolls like a wheel and goes from one person to another. It was the general thinking that bestower never grows poor. help of deities was sought in order to get more dana. Pusan was requested to inspire the avaricious (miser) person to give dana. 1111 The person, who did not give dana, was regarded a sinner. In Vedic society both dans and daksing were given to deserving persons. The bestowers used to take into consideration that even the smallest amount be not given to the undeserving person, for, dans brings no fruit if given to a undeserving man. The poor and deserving person in Vedic society could always get dana to carry on their livelihood. Yājnavalkya, however, holds that amount of dana should not be as much as that after giving it there comes difficulty to pull on the family of the bestower.

The Revedic seer requests the Püsan to lead him to the house of a man who gives daksinā. The act of giving deksinā in a yajña has been eulogized in Reveda X.107.4. The bestower of sacrificial daksinā was highly respected in the society. He was accepted as Rsi, Brehman, leader of the yajña, sāman-chanter and reciter of the loud. It was believed that bestowers of horses, gold and clothes in daksinā dwell with sun, are blessed with eternal life and get a long life, respectively. Similarly, the recemient of horse, cow, gold, silver and food in daksinā used to take it as his armour, for, he could lead his life without and poverty. Among the daksinās, the cow was regarded as the chief daksinā of lustrous sacrifice. Daksinā of low standard was never given. It was thought that the yajamāna who gave daksinā of a low standard would get the 'lokas' where there is no joy. Clearly, in the Vedic Society daksinā was

given for helping the recepient economically and on the other hand it was regarded as providing the bestower a high social status in the present life and in heaven afterwards.

The vedic society was four-fold from the 'varna' point of view and duties for each varna were categorically prescribed. Mong them, the chief duty of brahmanas, who were considered to be the custodians and representatives of knowledge (Jnana), was to perform sacrifices for themselves and for others. When they performed sacrifices for others, in return they were given daksina as much as they could carry on their own livelihood and could support their families. In fact, they could also perform the duties of a ksatriya or Waisya, but it would have been a wrong use of their knowledge and education. This was the main reason why the brahmanas were highly respected and were given large amount in daksina so that they might not be allured with other profession. Otherwise, the propagation of knowledge would have suffered. Brahmana-rtviks performed complicated rituals with a great proficiency and toil and received daksina in return. The main purpose of starting this ritual of daksina was to support the brahmanas engaged in performing the sacrifices. They were supposed to continue the light of knowledge through their practice and toil. Being free from day-to-day economic problems of their families they engaged themselves in thinking and revealing the great mystery of the universe. The knowledge which they imparted in shape of mantras is that on which any nation can boast of.

The ritual of daksinā, as it appears, was also related to the dharma (religion). Some people might be in practice not to distribute daksinā among the rtviks after utilizing the services of the latter. Then the rtviks would have found such practices, they might have arrended the ritual of daksinā with religion. The fruit

of sacrifical performances goes to their performer and it were the rtviks who actually performed the rituals and were entitled to the fruit therefrom. Therefore they might have instigated the people to give dakṣiṇā to the former if the latter wanted to have the sacrificial fruit. The rtviks might have told the people to attain heaven—the main goal of life - through some rituals. And to get heaven a yajamāna would have been ready to ray dakṣiṇā and thus debarring the rtviks from getting heaven with the sacrificial expenses incurred by himself. The rtviks could ruin whole of the sacrificial performances of a non-giver of dakṣiṇā by reciting verses in a wrong maner. In order to shun such practices and disasterous results the ritual of dākṣiṇā, in the form of desired things of the rtviks, might have been started in Vedic society.

It is found that in Vedic society a braharana named his livelihood by performing rituals for others, but it can't be a hard rule that the son of such a brahmana be always a learned and intelligent one. In this case what would be the means of his livelihood as there was no rule to give daksina to an illiterate person. such brahmanas were given some mannual work in the sacrifices and might be simply accompanying the rtviks without doing any major work. At the ignicayana an illiterate brahmana is found placing 'Swayamatrņņā' bricks and he was given dakṣiṇā of his choice. 128 Above stated brahmanas could render their services as the assistants of the main at the Soma sacrifice 'Ratrikarmins' are found assisting the rtviks in sacrificial work at night. It means a brahamana lacking knowledge was also not starved in vedic society. People throught it their moral duty to support such people irrespective of their knowledge and this practice is still in vogue to give dana or dak sina to brahmanas at certain occasions.

Though daksing was given to brahmana-rtviks only, yet it was not only for their profit. It profited indirectly other varnas also who participated and were given remuneration. Had anyhody been deprived of getting remuneration for his services the yajna would not be considered accomplished. In order to be a sacrifice accomplished everybody must get his share. It the Soma sacrifice the rayments made to sura or soma seller was not daksina but it could be taken as a form of daksina in order to accomplish the sacmifice. The grains, clothes etc. were given in daksina in a large quantity in srauta rites, so, with the increase in demand supply had also to be increased and thus the Vaisyas and weavers were economically helmed, for, these things had to be nurchased we from mimal-keepers were also profited because they supplied a large number of animals. The producers of various things produced various things with the yaina-mavana and not to hoard them. Thus dak sina profited the society both directly and indirectly. It established a bond between the giver and the recipient, and the suppliers of things. This bond made the Vedic society an ideal one. Daksina was really a socio-economic arrangement of Vedic so of ety.

REFERENCES

LARGEISE IN SRATTA RITUALS

- १. तं देवा दिन णाभिर्दत यंत्त्वदेनं दिन णाभिर्दत यंत्त्वमादिन णा नाम । 5Br. 2. 2. 2. 2.
- २. दिन णा द्वाते: समर्थयति कर्मण: । Nir.I.7.
- ३. cr. दिन णासु नी यमानासु ---। Katha. Up. XX 1.1.2.
- 8. Keith, A.B.: Rgveda Brahmanas, p. 286.
- W. Monier Williams: Skt-Eng. Dictionary, p. 466.
- Heesterman, J.C.: 'The Significance of Daksina', Indo-Iranian Journal, Vol. III, 1959, p. 258, Ram Gopal: India of Vedic Kalpa sütras, p. 138.
- ७. बदिन जानि च स्थामी योगात्। WARK KSS.12.1.8.
- द्या वै देवा देवा: । श्रहेव देवा अध ये ब्राह्मणा: शुहुवां सी ८ नृचानारते पनुष्य देवा: । श्रह्म. २.२.२.६.
- E. KSS.4.8.8, 9.4.32, 20.1.19, Sān.SS.4.20.3.
- 80. Sup ra, F.N. 1.
- 88. Kás. 15.8.22 & 12.5.14.
- १२. शतं सहग्राणि दिन्तिणा । KŚS. 15.4.43.
- १३ सहयुद्धिणा: Kss. 23. 1.6.
- १४. सीरं ब इयोगं दिनाणा । Kss.5.11.12.
- १५. गौ मिशुनी दिन जा । śān. śs. 3. 14. 12.
- १६ ये दिन जा भवत्यत्यत्यि पका प्रि । 4Br.6.35.
- १७. यत् शब्तुयात् दयात् । ईBr. 11. 1. 4. 4.
- १८. श्रांस्टारी वा । Kśs.5.11.13.
- 8E. San. SS. 4. 16.9.
- RC ASV. SS. 9.7.38.
- 24. Supra, Ref. 12.
- २२. तत्र न्यूनसंस्थाकदित जादानापेत या यधिकदित जादाने फता धिवयम् । Vidyā on Kás. 1. 10. 11.
- ??. Cr.KŚS. 21.1.14., 21.2.13, 7p33.20.24.12., 20.25.21.

- २४. दृब्बहीनैन यजमानेनात्विष्वाऽप्यत्यन्तस्वत्पाऽपि दित्तिणा यसे दातव्या भवति । Sāyaṇa on ABr.6.35.
- २५. Cf. दिन णासु त्वेव न संवदितव्यं संवादेनेव्य त्विजो लोका इति । spr. 9.5.2.16.
- 74. KSS. 20.8.6, ASV. SS. 9.9. 14, Vamass. 3.1.1.29, Nan. SS. 7.1.2.17.
- २७. तैम्थोऽन्वाहार्यं चतुर्धा विभज्य दिन णां ददाति । vkh.ss. vii.3, cr. kss. 3.4.28. कि.ss.4.11.4.
- रद. तं पृच्छति --- कच्चित्कत्याण्यौ दिलाणा--। क्रिइंड र. 10. 1. 3.
- RE. Man. 85.9.1.1.13, TBr. 1.6.1.4, Ap. 85.18.8.18, Va mass. 3.3.1.8.
- 30. KSS. 4.6.19.
- 38. KSS. 15.8.23; ASVSS. 9.4.15, Bauch SS. 12.18.
- ३२. तस्य दिन णा कृष्णा गौ: परिमूणी पर्यारिणी । 3Br.5.3.1.13.
- ३३. कृष्णा क्टां ददाति । Baudh. 38.12.5.
- hp58.5.29.1; Man58.1.6.5.11, Bhar48.5.21.1; San58.has a slightly different view. Caland (San58.Nagapur, 1953) translates San58. 2.5.27 as 'sacrificial fee are an old wrapper which has been resewn, an old chariot which has been repaired, a draughtest ox or a piece of gold and in the footnote he remarks that "Keith is wrong (on KBr.1.5).
- ₹¥. RV.9.71.1.
- 34. KŚS. 14.5.36
- ३७. शुतायां वपायां वरं ददाति कन्यामलंबुतामनङ्गाहं तिस्रो वा वत्सत्री: । Bhār. \$5.7.16.14, Cf. ASV. Gr. Sü. I. 23.2.
- ३८. परिष्टुते ब्रह्मागेऽन्वाहा वैमाहरनित । एवं दिनि णाकाल: सर्वासा भिष्टी नाम् । र्बतं का. ईड.1.12.9-10.
- ₹€. KSS.20.1.6.
- 80 KSS. 15. 1.5.
- ४१. शीन: शेपान्ते पूथक शते ददाति । १४३८. १५.६.५.
- ४२. वासी मिधुनं गावी नवं च रधं ददाति सावारणानि सर्वेषाम् । Toss.5.20.11.
- ४३ चत्रु वे दिल जा: । हिर्ण्यं गीवसिं ऽश्वी । śgr.4.3.4.7.
- 88. K\$S. 20. 1.6.
- 84. Apss. 17.23.5.
- 84 KSS. 15.8.5-6.
- 80. SBr. 2.2.3.28, 5.2.3.6, KSS. 22.5.5., Man. SS. 8.11.6, ASV. SS. 9.4.6.

- St. Supra Ph. 36.
- 8E. KŚS. 20. 2.6, ŚBr. 13. 4. 2. 10.
- 40. Man. \$3.5.2.14.9.
- 48. Supra Ph. 14.
- 47. K\$S. 22.11.1.
- ¥3 K\$3.14.3.13.
- XXX XXXXXXXXXX, San. SS. 15.3.14, Man. SS. 9.2.2.12, KSS. 22.8.20, also cf. Supra F.n. 20.
- 44. KSS. 15.3.18.
- 44. KSS. 21.4.29.
- 40. 3Br.5.3.1.11.
- 45. Sán. \$3.3.2.7.
- VE. Ibid.
- 40. Man. 35.9.2.5.3., Br. 13.5.4.24.
- έξ. SBr. 13.6.2.19.
- 47. KSS. 22. E. 1.
- 43 KSS.3.4.28., San.SS.1.12.10, \$\overline{\pi}SS.4.11.4., Vkh.SS.7.3.
- 48. \$5.6.31.5.
- ξų. Kss. 10.2.11.
- ξξ San. SS. 2.4.10.
- ξο KSS. 15. 10. 18.
- Ec Supra Ph. 32.
- GE. Cf. Thite, G.U.: Non-attratice daksinas in Srauta Rituals", VIJ, Vol. VII, pts.i-11, 1969, p.40.
- 90 Asv. \$5.9.4.6.
- 98 Man. 35. 1.6. 4. 27., Varaha \$8.1.5.5.9.
- 65 KSS. 22. 2. 11-13.
- 03 Man. \$5.9.1.1.31.
- 98 San. \$5.2.3.22, Man. \$5.7.1.2.17.
- ф\$3.18.3.4.
- 30

- υξ. G.Br. 3. 19. (UB).
- 1919 KSS. 18.6.23.
- ७८ KSS. 19.4.5.
- Ø€ K\$S. 22. 2. 2.
- E0 K\$5.22.2.25.
- E8 K35.22.2.24.
- ER Man. \$3.11.1.2.
- 5ān.85.3.3.7.
- E8 SBr. 5.3.1.11.
- EY. Man. \$3.7.1.1.29.
- τέ. Bauch. 55. 24.12.
- EG. Man. 33.11.2.1.
- EE Bauch. 99, 13.9.
- प्ट. न र्वतं दघात् ---। Kss. 10.2.34. न हिस्तनं प्रतिगृष्णी यात् । sbr. 3.1.3.4
- ξο. TS. 1. 5. 1. 1-2.
- ६१. न वैसरिणों ददाति न उनयबोदत: प्रतिगृह्णाति इति विश्वणिति एव समा-म्नायते । तल्पात् न श्रव्वा देवा: इति । Sabara on Jai.6.7.4.
- ε². Ts.2.3.12.1.
- E३. शुद्धश्च धर्मशार जत्वात् । Ja1.6.7.6.
- ६४ महिषों वृह्मणे ददाति । वावातां होते । परिवृद्धती मुद्गात्र । पालाक्ती मध्वयेव एति विशायते । क्रिडंड. २०. १०. २०. १५ त्य ब on Ksis. 20.8. 24, prescribes Vāvā tā & Parivirkti for Udgā tā and Hotā, respectively.
- ६५. शनुबरोवि फलाधिकारादितरासाम् । KईS. 20.8.26.
- E4. Heesterman J.C., The Ancient Indian Royal Consecration, p. 164. Cf. Thite G.U. op. cit.p. 44.
- E७. ---मीव: शत्रु-त्समी केंबु जेता । RV. 10. 107. 11.
- ध्यां वे रातमना शविचिकित्सन्दिकाणां ददाति तया महज्वयति । 3Br.4.3.4.20.
- हह. नादित ण हिंद: स्यात् । SBr. 11. 1. 3.7.

- १००. यथा ह वा उदमनी पुरीगवं रिष्यत्थेवं हेव यज्ञीऽदिताणी रिष्यति । तस्मादा-हुदति व्येव यशे दिताणा । ABr.6.35.
- १०१. Cr. येदां पत्नां पुच्टं भूत्रां कामकेत तेदां वयसाम् । क्रिंड. 5. 20. 15.
- १०२. ८ इदं यिद्धवं भुवनं स्वर्धतत् सर्वे दिति गिभ्यो ददाति । हर. १०. १०. १०. १० व
- 703. J. Gonda: 'The Etymologies in the Ancient Indian Brahmanas', Lingue, 5(1955)75, Vide Thite, G.U., VIJ, Vol. 7, 1969, pts. 1-11, p. 38.
- 808. Mayn C. W History of Sanskrit Literature, Vol. I (Section II), p. 45.
- 804. 3Br. 1.2.3.4-5.
- १०६ Cr. BBr. 2.5. (UB).
- १८७. यौ दिनि छोन यहेन यहेत स यहा: प्रतामी नायु: 1 कि. 55.9.15.20.
- 805 RV. X. 117. 1.
- goe Rv. x. 117.5.
- ११०. न मोजा ममूर्न न्यर्थमो युर्न रिष्यन्ति न व्यथन्ते ह मोजा: । इदं यि इवं भुवनं स्वश्चेतत् सर्वं दिन जिम्यो ददाति । RV. X. 107.8.
- ११९ श्रिदित्य विदाषृषे पूष न्दानाय चौदय । पणेश्चिद् वि ।। RV.6.53.3.
- ११२ त्य ग्राप्त है. विद्यालवृतिक दिल । न वक्षवृतिक विदे नावेद्य पर्मिषत् ।। निष्य थेतेषु दर्ने हि विधिना प्यजितं । दातुर्भवत्थाय परत्रादातुरैव व ।। Manu. 4. 192-93.
- ११8. स्यं बुदुम्बा विरोधन देयं दारसुतादृते । Yājña. 3 mr. 2.175.
- ११५. अभि नौ नर्स वसु वी रं प्रयतह कि णम्। वामं गृहपतिं नय।। २४. था. 53. 2.
- ११4 P. V. 107.6.
- ११७ RV. XX07.2.
- ११c. RV. X. 107.7.
- ११६ VS.IV.23.
- १२०. CI.पीतौददा जण्यतृणा दुग्वदोष्ठा निरिन्द्रिया: । ६१. श्रन्दा नाम ते लोका तान् स गञ्छति ता ददत् । Katha.Up.I.1.3.

- १२१. शास्त्रास्त्रमृत्वं ज त्रस्य विणावशृष्ट् विश: । शानावनार्थं धर्मस्तु दानमध्ययनं यनि: ।। Manu. 10.79.
- १२२. Cf. शध्यापनमध्ययनं यजनं याजनं तथा । दानं प्रतिगृहत्त्वेव च ट्लमण्यगुजन्मनः । Manu. 10.75
- १२३. Supra, ref.99.
- १२४, cr.) प्रमास्तिमते पर्युद्धयं च स्वर्गादामस्य K\$S.4.15.11. ग्रेस्वर्गामस्यतियः K\$S.22.8.10 ग्रेस्वर्गदामस्यासुरामयाविनौ वा / K\$S.23.1.17
- १२५,८९ मन्त्रों होन: स्वर्तों वर्णतों वा ।

 सिथ्यापृद्धतों न तमर्थनाह । क्षत्रक्रः

 स वाग्वज़ों यजमानं हिनस्ति ।

 यथेन्द्रशहुस्वर्तों ८पराधात् । pāṇini sikṣā, 52.

 ध so, cf. Keith, RFV, Vol.I, p.298.
- १२६. वरं ददाति । ४.६३.4.8.8.
- १२७. शातये चाले नियाय। K3S. 10. 2. 33.
- १२८. शविदुधे वरं ददाति । Man. \$5.6.1.7.13.
- ?RE. Supra: CH. VI. Fn. 103.
- QRO. Cf. Heestermen: J.C., "Reflections on the Significance of the Daksina," I.J.J. Vol. 3, 1959, p. 242.

--- O---